

2023 F2 Stand-alone Recruitment

Longlisting Outcomes

Applications for the 2023 F2 Stand-alone programme have been processed in line with the national [F2 Person Specification](#) and the eligibility criteria/deadlines as outlined in the [2023 F2 Stand-alone Programme Applicant Handbook](#).

- Applications which have met **ALL** of the essential criteria/deadlines have been longlisted and will be progressed to the next stage of the application process
- Any applications which have **not met ALL** of the essential criteria/deadlines have not been longlisted. The application has therefore not been successful and will not be progressed further

The UKFPO are unable to provide applicants with individual feedback or further information about the reason(s) why their application was deemed unsuccessful at longlisting stage. The UKFPO will unfortunately not be able to reply to emails which contain queries about this process.

Applicants should refer back to the person specification and applicant handbook on the UKFPO [website](#) to determine the reason(s) they were not longlisted.

There is no process by which applicants can appeal the longlisting outcome of their application.

List of common reasons why applications were deemed unsuccessful at longlisting stage

It is important to note that the below list is not exhaustive and does not include all possible reasons for unsuccessful longlist outcomes.

Some applications may have been longlisted out for more than one of these reasons. We advise applicants to review their application against the list below, the F2 Person Specification and the eligibility criteria/deadlines within the applicant handbook.

Primary Medical Qualification (PMQ):

- PMQ was not accepted as evidence for English language proficiency (ELP), because it appears on the GMC list of institutions from which they will not accept evidence of English language proficiency: <https://www.gmc-uk.org/registration-and-licensing/join-the-register/before-you-apply/evidence-of-your-knowledge-of-english/using-your-primary-medical-qualification>

- Applicant has indicated that their PMQ was not taught in English and did not provide acceptable alternative evidence of their English language proficiency
 - PMQ is not accepted by the GMC:
<https://www.gmc-uk.org/registration-and-licensing/join-the-register/before-you-apply/acceptable-overseas-qualifications/overseas-medical-qualifications-we-do-not-accept>
-

English Language Proficiency

IELTS (International English Language Testing System)

- IELTS scores were provided and verifiable but one or more of the domain scores was below the minimum standard as required by the F2 Person Specification (7.5 is required for each domain. Overall/band scores are not considered)
- IELTS scores were provided and verifiable but were out of date because the test had taken place earlier than 2 August 2021
- Applicant did not provide the IELTS TRF number
- Applicant provided an IELTS TRF number that could not be verified on the IELTS system portal

OET (Occupational English Test)

- Applicant provided an OET candidate number that could not be verified because the applicant did not share their results with the UKFPO (applicants should note that the UKFPO and GMC are two separate organisations)
- Applicant did not provide their OET candidate number
- OET scores were provided and verifiable but one or more of the scores was below the minimum standard as required by the F2 Person Specification (400 is required for each domain. Overall/band scores are not considered)
- OET scores were provided and verifiable but were out of date because the test had taken place earlier than 2 August 2021

Consultant Testimony Proforma

- Consultant testimony form had not been completed and signed by a consultant
- The required minimum 3 months' post experience included employment in Locum/LAS posts

- Applicant did not attach the Consultant Testimony Proforma to their application on Oriel
 - Consultant did not fully complete the declaration boxes
 - Consultant selected “NO – I have not supervised this doctor”
 - Consultant selected “NO – This doctor does not have a satisfactory standard of English language proficiency”
 - Applicant uploaded an incomplete document
 - Applicant used an out-of-date proforma
-

PLAB

- Applicant requires PLAB and had not already passed PLAB 1 at the point of application in January 2023
-

Experience

- Applicant has already successfully completed a UK F2 training programme and has received a Foundation Achievement of Competency Document (FACD) or Foundation Programme Certificate of Completion (FPCC)
 - Applicant is currently working in a UK F1 training programme as part of the recognised two-year foundation programme
-

Reapplication

- Applicant submitted an incomplete Reapplication form
- The Foundation School Director had not signed the Reapplication form
- The reapplication to foundation training was not supported by the Foundation School Director